

1407

SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, IN PRO PER  
990 Berkeley Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: 650-921-8820  
Message: 650-521-0571

FILED  
08 APR 15 PM 12:24  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Shirley V. Remmert;  
Eva D. Al-Zaghari,  
Plaintiffs,

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER/ MEMORANDUM OF  
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES/ DECLARATION/  
EXHIBIT A/ PROPOSED ORDER

v.

CASE NO. C-08-1644 CRB,  
C-08-1645 CRB

James P. Fox,  
District Attorney  
Of San Mateo County;  
Jerry Brown,  
Attorney General  
Of the State of California

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER

ATTACHED: REQUEST FOR EX PARTE HEARING

1. I, Plaintiff Shirley V. Remmert and Plaintiff Eva D. Al-Zaghari move for a temporary restraining order (TRO) against San Mateo County's lock-up of my daughter, Eva Al-Zaghari and its prohibiting contact between my daughter and me through Probate Court orders of State of California court in San Mateo County (Case No. 108876 LPS and No. 114069).

2. The grounds for this motion are the facts showing that the acts of the county employees are terrorist in nature in violation of federal criminal codes and that we are denied our civil liberties under 42 U.S.C. sec. 1983.

3. The motion is based on a memorandum of points and authorities, a declaration,

1 and an attached **Exhibit A**, the opening brief by my attorney D. Fried for my appeal  
2 against the conviction of Case No. SM351187A, a subject of this case.

3  
4 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITES

5 Argument

6 4. We, the plaintiffs will suffer immediate and irreparable injury if defendants are  
7 not immediately restrained from their enforcement of the said Probate Court orders. We  
8 have no adequate remedy at law, since the law enforcement sector (county sheriff and  
9 F.B.I.) continually categorize the case as a conservatorship matter. The civil courts  
10 continue to rely on the hearsay evidence of experts in mental health, even though the  
11 evidence is brought about through the lack of due process.

12  
13 5. There is a substantial likelihood that I will prevail on the merits, since

14  
15 A. Paramedics from the Menlo Park Fire Protection District and paramedics  
16 from American Medical Response both stated at the time of an "incident" claimed by  
17 psychiatrists that Eva Al-Zaghari was not a "5150" case under Welfare and Institutions  
18 Code sec. 5150.

19  
20 B. Psychiatrists cannot produce even one timely written law enforcement  
21 report for a "5150" for March 26, 2005, the date of the claimed "incident".

22  
23 C. The county psychiatrist committing Eva Al-Z. on March 27, 2005  
24 admitted four months earlier that Eva Al-Z. does not fit the criteria for a conservatorship.

25  
26 D. No hearing was held in court to allow Eva Al-Zaghari to oppose the  
27 forcible drugging. No hearing was held in court to allow her to oppose the diagnosis of  
28 her as a patient under the LPS Act allowing for the most draconian of treatment including

1 sterilization. The pleading for the temporary or preliminary conservatorship was not  
2 served to me or to my daughter until two weeks after the order for the temporary  
3 conservatorship was signed and entered on the records.  
4

5 E. Eva Al-Z.'s medical records are rife with bogus statements of drug abuse,  
6 violence, suicidal ideation, without corroboration by law enforcement reports. She in fact  
7 has never abused drugs, became agitated when the psychiatrists induced her diabetic  
8 condition, and was not suicidal at the time of the claimed incident.  
9

10 6. In the balance of hardship test, the threatened harm to plaintiff outweighs the  
11 harm a temporary restraining order would inflict on defendants, who have exerted  
12 unjustified power over us.  
13

14 7. The issuance of a temporary restraining order would not adversely affect the  
15 public interest and public policy because the public including the plaintiffs have a right to  
16 know through litigation why the county and the state have wreaked so much havoc on our  
17 lives.  
18

19 8. The court should enter this temporary restraining order without notice to  
20 defendants because we will suffer immediate and irreparable injury, retaliation (Exhibit  
21 A), loss of civil rights, or damage if the TRO is not granted before defendants can be  
22 heard. Notice would be impractical or impossible/there is no less drastic means to protect  
23 our interests.  
24

25 9. Exhibit A, part of the opening brief for my attorney, D. Fried, for my appeal  
26 against the judgment in Case No. SM 351187, a subject in this petition for a writ,  
27  
28

1 describes the pain from forced drugging by the county that Eva Al-Z. undergoes when she  
2 appears in court to testify on her behalf.

3  
4 10. Also, the court would not allow her to testify to the fact that she on her own tried  
5 to escape from a county halfway house accessible to male strangers on May 2, 2007. I  
6 was arrested on May 3, 2007 and sentenced to four months in jail on June 27, 2007. A  
7 week before, Eva Al-Z. traveled to Sacramento (part of the Reno trip) and complained in  
8 writing to the Governor about her alleged false imprisonment.

9  
10 11. We ask that the court set the request for a preliminary injunction for hearing at the  
11 earliest possible time.

12  
13 Conclusion

14 12. For these reasons, we ask the court to issue a temporary restraining order  
15 preventing Defendant State of California courts and agencies, as well as San Mateo  
16 County agencies from enforcement of the Probate Court orders which grant the county  
17 unlimited power over the person and estate of Eva Al-Zaghari (Case No. 108876 LPS)  
18 and which prohibit my contact with her (Case No. 114069).

19  
20 13. We ask that all civil rights be restored to us as of the day before the county's  
21 claim of an incident of March 26, 2005. Included in those civil rights is the right not to  
22 be followed by paid county mental health personnel.

23  
24  
25 DECLARATION

26 STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
27 SAN MATEO COUNTY

28 I, Plaintiff Shirley V. Remmert, am knowledgeable as to the facts in this motion

1 and can competently testify as to those facts as they are within my personal experience. I  
2  
3 declare that the above statements are true and correct under the penalties for perjury of the  
4 federal laws.  
5

6 DATE: *April 15, 2008*

7 Respectfully Submitted By:

8  
9   
10 Plaintiff  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

EXHIBIT A

**DARRYL S. FRIED**

Attorney at Law

SBN 064094

580 Crespi Drive, Suite A-6 [PMB 209]

Pacifica, CA 94044-3426

Telephone: 650.738.5896

Fax: 650.738.5896

**ENDORSED FILED**  
**SAN MATEO COUNTY**

JAN 17 2008

Clerk of the Superior Court

By Margaret Chin

DEPUTY CLERK

Attorney for Appellant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

APPELLATE DEPARTMENT

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ]

Plaintiff / Respondent, ]

v. ]

SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, ]

Defendant / Respondent. ]

AD 4986, 4987

NO: SM351187

SM340531

APPELLANT'S

OPENING BRIEF

Appeals from the Superior Court  
Southern Judicial District  
Honorable Elizabeth K. Lee, Judge

representation, dismissal, and retrial were denied. (RT 150.) As on the other case, the Notice of Appeal in this case was filed on July 27, 2007.

### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

This case involves Shirley Remmert removing her conserved daughter from a court ordered placement facility in violation of a no contact order issued against her in the case (for which she was already on probation.) Ms. Remmert's defense to the charge was that pre-existing orders were invalid and therefore not binding upon her.<sup>1</sup>

Deputy Joseph Vers of the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department testified that on April 29, 2007 he was dispatched to the home of Shirley Remmert in Menlo Park on the report of a missing woman out of Daly City. (RT 51.) The missing person was Eva Al-Zahari, the daughter of Shirley Remmert. Ms. Al-Zahari was located in the home by the deputy. (RT52.) The deputy confirmed the existence of a restraining order protecting Ms. Al-Zahari from contact with Shirley Remmert. (RT 55.)

Eva Al-Zaghari testified that she was at Eucalyptus House on April 25, 2007 when she heard a whistle. (RT 66.) In response, she went to a cul de sac outside and got into a truck driven by her mother. (RT 66.) She then went to

---

<sup>1</sup> Although more than one act was alleged, the district attorney at the suggestion of the trial court, elected to go with the incident of April 25, 2007. (RT 92.)



Reno with her mother, stayed one night, went to other places and eventually returned to her mother's house in Menlo Park. (RT 66.) She sort of remembers the deputy coming to the house, speaking to him, and then being taken to San Mateo County General Hospital. (RT 67.) Ms. Al-Zaghari, who is the subject of a conservatorship, testified after being given medication which affects her ability to sleep and causes dehydration. (RT 69.) Ms. Al-Zaghari also testified as a defense witness and indicated that from April 25 to May 3 she was not on medication and during that time she did not feel sick. She felt alert. (RT 77.) The medications she has to take make her feel drowsy. (RT 78.)

Shirley Remmert testified on her own behalf. She was not advised of her rights against self incrimination. She felt that her daughter is not gravely disabled. She only looks that way when she is medicated. The medication also affects her daughter's memory. (RT 84.) Ms. Remmert feels that her daughter is competent and that the county caused her condition by exacerbating her diabetic condition and giving her anti psychotic medication. (RT 84.)

On cross examination, she admitted being aware of the restraining order and that it was in effect between April 25<sup>th</sup> - April 29. Her defense to the charge is necessity/justification. She is on probation for the first violation of

*Request for Hearing*

1407

SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, PRO SE  
990 Berkeley Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: 650-921-8820  
Message: 650-521-0571

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Shirley V. Remmert;  
Eva D. Al-Zaghari,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

James P. Fox,  
District Attorney  
Of San Mateo County;  
Jerry Brown,  
Attorney General  
of the State of California,  
Defendants

PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR HEARING  
FOR TRO

RE REMMERT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
HABEAS CORPUS

CASE NO. C-08-1644 CRB  
C-08-1645 CRB

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER

We, the plaintiffs Shirley V. Remmert and Eva D. Al-Zaghari request a hearing for a  
motion on a temporary restraining order to present evidence under FRCP 43(e).

I declare that the above statement is true and correct under the penalties for perjury of  
the federal laws.

DATE:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Plaintiff

*Proposed Orders*

1 1407

2 SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, PRO SE  
3 990 Berkeley Avenue  
4 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
5 Tel: 650-921-8820  
6 Message: 650-521-0571

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 Shirley V. Remmert;  
10 Eva D. Al-Zaghari,  
11 Plaintiffs,

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR HEARING  
FOR TRO

12 v.

RE PLAINTIFF REMMERT'S PETITION FOR  
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

13 James P. Fox  
14 District Attorney  
15 Of San Mateo County  
16 Jerry Brown  
17 Attorney General  
18 Of the State of California  
19 \_\_\_\_\_/

CASE NO. C-08-1644 CRB  
C-08-1645 CRB

20 After considering the application for a hearing on a motion for a temporary restraining  
21 order by Plaintiffs Shirley V. Remmert and Eva D. Al-Zaghari, the court GRANTS the  
22 plaintiffs' request for hearing and sets the motion for a TRO for hearing on  
23 \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./ p.m.

24 SIGNED on \_\_\_\_\_

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28

1407

SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, PRO SE  
990 Berkeley Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: 650-921-8820  
Message: 650-521-0571

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Shirley V. Remmert;  
Eva D. Al-Zaghari,  
Plaintiffs,

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER

v.

CASE NO. C-08-1644 CRB  
C-08-1645 CRB

James P. Fox,  
District Attorney  
Of San Mateo County;  
Jerry Brown,  
State Attorney General  
Of the State of California,  
Defendants

After considering the application of Plaintiffs Shirley V. Remmert and Eva D. Al-Zaghari for a temporary restraining order against Defendants District Attorney James P. Fox for San Mateo County, Attorney General Jerry Brown for the State of California, and any of their agents, as well as the pleadings, affidavits, and declarations, the court FINDS as follows:

1. Plaintiffs will suffer the following immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage; namely, unnecessary, unjustified, groundless medication, mental and physical harm from the many forms of restraint used upon her.

1  
2 2. This injury is irreparable because plaintiffs have shown facial evidence of a  
3 previous trauma likely to result in irreversible injuries from the present post-trauma.

4 3. This ex parte order is granted without notice to defendants, because of the San  
5 Mateo County's suspicious history of forcibly drugging Eva Al-Zaghari when she appears  
6 in court.  
7

8 For these reasons, the court ORDERS the following:

9 4. Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, judges, and all  
10 persons acting in concert with them be restrained from enforcing Probate Court orders in  
11 Case No. 108876 LPS and No. 114796.  
12

13 Plaintiff Shirley Remmert upon the signing and entering of this order will present  
14 a copy of the order to Defendant District Attorney James P. Fox, who will advise the  
15 custodians of Eva Al-Z. that they may not medicate her or treat her pursuant to this order  
16 for her temporary release. If the order is entered on a Friday or at the close of a business  
17 day, the District Attorney will nevertheless immediately inform the custodians in Eva Al-  
18 Z.'s residence of the contents of this order. The custodians will allow Plaintiff Remmert  
19 to speak to her daughter by telephone or in person. Defendant District Attorney will  
20 inform Plaintiff Remmert of the address for pick-up of her daughter. The foregoing  
21 assistance in the release of Eva Al-Z by the Defendant District Attorney after the order is  
22 issued will take approximately one hour. Delays by any of the parties or agents will not  
23 be tolerated. Plaintiff Remmert will return Eva Al-Zaghari to their home at 990 Berkeley  
24 Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025. All of the rights that they were entitled to before Eva  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Al-Z.'s detention for conservatorship on March 26, 2005 are restored pending a full  
2 hearing or further court orders.  
3

4 The clerk to issue notice to Defendants District Attorney for San Mateo County  
5 and Attorney General for the State of California that the hearing on Plaintiff's request for  
6 preliminary injunction is set for \_\_\_\_\_, 2008 at  
7 \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./ p.m.  
8

9 The temporary restraining order will expire at the end of the hearing for the  
10 preliminary injunction or on \_\_\_\_\_.  
11

12  
13 SIGNED on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.  
14  
15  
16

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28